arXiv:1512.08337v1 [math.AP] 28 Dec 2015

December 29, 2015

# A SHORT PROOF OF MONOTONICITY FORMULA FOR CURVE SHORTENING FLOW IN 3D

HAYK MIKAYELYAN

Abstract. We give a rather elementary proof of a Huisken-type monotonicity formula for curve shortening flow in 3D.

Primary 35K93; Secondary 35K10
Keywords: curve shortening flow, monotonicity formula

We consider a closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ moving by its curvature

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = H\nu,$$

where $\mathbf{u} : [0,T) \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the curve parametrization,

$$H = \frac{|\mathbf{u}'' \times \mathbf{u}'|}{|\mathbf{u}'|^3}$$

is the curvature and

$$\nu = \frac{\mathbf{u}' \times (\mathbf{u}'' \times \mathbf{u}')}{|\mathbf{u}'||\mathbf{u}'' \times \mathbf{u}'|}$$

is the normal vector.

Note that in this form we fix a certain parametrization which has no component tangential to the normal $\nu$. For a general parametrization we will get

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nu = H \tag{1}$$

and

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \gamma = 0$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\mathbf{u}'' \times \mathbf{u}'}{|\mathbf{u}'' \times \mathbf{u}'|}$ is the unit binormal vector.

Assume the first singularity appears at point 0 after finite time $T$. We rescale the parametrization in the following way

$$\tau = -\log(T-t), \mathbf{v}(\tau, x) = (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{u}(t,x)$$

and arrive at

$$\partial_\tau \mathbf{v}(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{v}' \times (\mathbf{v}'' \times \mathbf{v}')}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4}. \tag{2}$$

In the paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** The solutions of (2) satisfy the following relation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_{S^1} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{v}|^2}|\mathbf{v}'|dx = - \frac{1}{4}\int_{S^1}|\mathbf{v}\cdot\gamma|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{v}|^2}|\mathbf{v}'|dx - \int_{S^1}|\partial_\tau \mathbf{v}\cdot\nu|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{v}|^2}|\mathbf{v}'|dx, \tag{3}$$

where $\nu$ is the unit normal vector and $\gamma$ the unit binormal vector.

This formula is the 3D codimension-2 analogue of the famous Huisken's formula (see [1]). The proof is based on ideas introduced by Zelenjak (see [3]) for parabolic boundary value problems and adapted by the author in 2D curve shortening context in [2].

**Corollary 1.** Stationary solutions of (2) are plane curves.

**Corollary 2.** Let us set

$$E(\tau) = \int_{S^1} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{v}|^2}|\mathbf{v}'|dx \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(\tau) = \frac{1}{4}\int_{S^1}|\mathbf{v}\cdot\gamma|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{v}|^2}|\mathbf{v}'|dx.$$

Then

$$\Pi(\tau) \le -E'(\tau).$$

**Proof of the Theorem.**
For the system (2) let us try to obtain a monotonicity formula of the form

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\int_{S^1} F(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_1', v_2', v_3')dx \le -\int_{S^1}|\partial_\tau \mathbf{v}\cdot\nu|^2\rho(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_1', v_2', v_3')dx, \tag{4}$$

where $\rho$ is positive.
Differentiating the left hand side of (4) and integrating by parts we get

$$\partial_\tau v_1\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1\partial\eta_1}v_1' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2\partial\eta_1}v_2' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3\partial\eta_1}v_3' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1^2}v_1'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1\partial\eta_2}v_2'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1\partial\eta_3}v_3''\right] + \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_\tau v_2\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1\partial\eta_2}v_1' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2\partial\eta_2}v_2' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3\partial\eta_2}v_3' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1\partial\eta_2}v_1'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_2^2}v_2'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_2\partial\eta_3}v_3''\right] + \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_\tau v_3\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_3} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1\partial\eta_3}v_1' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2\partial\eta_3}v_2' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3\partial\eta_3}v_3' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_1\partial\eta_3}v_1'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_2\partial\eta_3}v_2'' - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \eta_3^2}v_3''\right]. \tag{7}$$

In the right hand side of (4) using (2) we obtain

$$|\partial_\tau \mathbf{v}\cdot\nu|^2 = \left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\nu\right]\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}+\frac{\mathbf{v}'\times(\mathbf{v}''\times\mathbf{v}')}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4}\right)\cdot\nu\right] = \tag{8}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\nu\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\nu\right]+\left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\nu\right]\left[\frac{\mathbf{v}'\times(\mathbf{v}''\times\mathbf{v}')}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4}\cdot\nu\right] = \tag{9}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\nu\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\nu\right]+\left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{v}'\times(\mathbf{v}''\times\mathbf{v}')}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4}\right]. \tag{10}$$

Observe that

$$\frac{\mathbf{v}'\times(\mathbf{v}''\times\mathbf{v}')}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}'|^4}\begin{pmatrix} v_1''(v_2'^2+v_3'^2)-v_2''v_1'v_2'-v_3''v_1'v_3'\\ -v_1''v_1'v_2'+v_2''(v_1'^2+v_3'^2)-v_3''v_2'v_3'\\ -v_1''v_1'v_3'-v_2''v_2'v_3'+v_3''(v_2'^2+v_3'^2)\end{pmatrix}$$

We require that

$$D_\eta^2 F(\xi,\eta) = \frac{\rho(\xi,\eta)}{|\eta|^4}\begin{pmatrix}(\eta_2^2+\eta_3^2) & -\eta_1\eta_2 & -\eta_1\eta_3\\ -\eta_1\eta_2 & (\eta_1^2+\eta_3^2) & -\eta_2\eta_3\\ -\eta_1\eta_3 & -\eta_2\eta_3 & (\eta_1^2+\eta_2^2)\end{pmatrix} = \rho(\xi,\eta)|\eta|^{-1}D^2|\eta|, \tag{11}$$

which means that the last three terms in (5), (6) and (7) will "take care" of the second term in (10).

Setting $\nu=(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3)^T$ we further require that the first term in (10) multiplied by $-\rho(\xi,\eta)$ be larger or equal than to the remaining terms in (5), (6) and (7).

$$-\rho(\xi,\eta)\left[\begin{pmatrix}\partial_\tau v_1\\ \partial_\tau v_2\\ \partial_\tau v_3\end{pmatrix}\cdot\nu\right]\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}\cdot\nu\right] = -\frac{\rho}{2}\partial_\tau\mathbf{v}\cdot[(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nu)\nu] \geq \tag{12}$$

$$\partial_\tau v_1\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial\xi_1}-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_1\partial\eta_1}v_1'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_2\partial\eta_1}v_2'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_3\partial\eta_1}v_3'\right]+ \tag{13}$$

$$\partial_\tau v_2\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial\xi_2}-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_1\partial\eta_2}v_1'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_2\partial\eta_2}v_2'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_3\partial\eta_2}v_3'\right]+ \tag{14}$$

$$\partial_\tau v_3\left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial\xi_3}-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_1\partial\eta_3}v_1'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_2\partial\eta_3}v_2'-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial\xi_3\partial\eta_3}v_3'\right]. \tag{15}$$

Now let us observe that the functions

$$F(\xi,\eta)=\rho(\xi,\eta)=|\eta|e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}$$

satisfy (11) and inequality (12). (11) is obvious and to see that (12) is satisfied we compute

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \eta_1}\eta_1 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2 \partial \eta_1}\eta_2 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3 \partial \eta_1}\eta_3 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \eta_2}\eta_1 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2 \partial \eta_2}\eta_2 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3 \partial \eta_2}\eta_3 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_3} - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \eta_3}\eta_1 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_2 \partial \eta_3}\eta_2 - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi_3 \partial \eta_3}\eta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \tag{16}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{|\xi|^2}{4}}\left[|\eta|\xi - \frac{\xi\cdot\eta}{|\eta|}\eta\right] = -\frac{\rho}{2}\left[\xi - \frac{\xi\cdot\eta}{|\eta|^2}\eta\right] \tag{17}$$

We need to check that

$$-\frac{\rho}{2}\partial_\tau \mathbf{v}\cdot[(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nu)\nu] = -\frac{\rho}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\xi + H\nu\right]\cdot[(\xi\cdot\nu)\nu]) = \tag{18}$$

$$-\frac{\rho}{4}|\xi\cdot\nu|^2 - \frac{H\rho}{2}\xi\cdot\nu \geq \tag{19}$$

$$-\frac{\rho}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\xi + H\nu\right]\cdot\left[\xi - \frac{\xi\cdot\eta}{|\eta|^2}\eta\right] = -\frac{\rho}{4}|\xi|^2 + \frac{\rho}{4}\frac{|\xi\cdot\eta|^2}{|\eta|^2} - \frac{H\rho}{2}\xi\cdot\nu. \tag{20}$$

The result follows from mutual orthogonality of $\nu$, $\eta$ and $\gamma$, and the equality

$$|\xi|^2 = |\xi\cdot\nu|^2 + \frac{|\xi\cdot\eta|^2}{|\eta|^2} + |\xi\cdot\gamma|^2.$$

**Remark.** In the proof we use the compactness of the curve only when doing partial integration to obtain (5)-(7). For a non-compact curve parametrized by $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ all the calculations will work provided the integrals are finite and

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \partial_{\eta_j} F(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}')\partial_\tau v_j = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

## References


[1] G. Huisken, *Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow.* — J. Differential Geom. **31** (1991), no. 2, 285–299.

[2] H. Mikayelyan, *Stabilization technique applied to curve shortening flow in the plane.* — Zapiski nauchn. sem. POMI (to appear)

[3] T. I. Zelenjak, *Stabilization of solutions of boundary value problems for a second-order parabolic equation with one space variable.* — Differencialnye Uravnenija, **4**, 34–45, 1968.



Mathematcal Sciences
The University of Nottingham Ningbo China
Taikang Dong Lu Nr. 199, Ningbo 315100
PR China

Hayk.Mikayelyan@nottingham.edu.cn